Rock of Ages to the tune of "When the Swallows Homeward Fly?"

I sure have.

And down at our Methodist church, they have a fiddle, and some horns and things, and occasionally it seems to me the service takes up waltz measure and rag-time.

Possibly, this is a mistake: my hearing is

much "impaired."

In order that you may see for yourself what sort of a case these persecuting Cathohes of Baltimore made out against the Holy Rollers, I will quote from the report of the trial; and I beg you to remember that those Catholics of Baltimore

(1.) Are the glib-tongued hypocrites who claim that they established religious freedom

in America, and

(2.) They are the double-faced hypocrites who persecute in their own town, and at the same time demand that persecution of Catholies be stopped in Mexico:

If Judge Heuisler had never attended religious services in Faith Apostolic Church and observed the zealous spiritual worship of the Holy Rollers, he was educated by several witnesses in Criminal Court, Part 2, this morning when John W. Pitcher, the pastor, and "Sister" Lydia Gladzell, referred to as his "first lieutenant," were placed on trial. They are being tried before a jury under two indictments-one charging them with conducting a disorderly house and the other with disturbing the peace.

The examination of four witnesses occupied the court before recess. By that time Judge Heuisler had had demonstrated to him by police and by residents of the neighborhood of Chester and Orleans streets, where the church is located, the Wild gyrations, loud shouts, high-pitched singing and unbounded enthusiasm by which the Holy Rollers are said to make display of their religious

Plays Holly Roller in Court.

Patrolman Woelper, of the Northeastern district, was a particularly picturesque witness for the State. He walked up and down alone in the courtroom, clapping his hands, stamping with his leet, shouting "Glory!" and waving his hands, Indicating, he said, how the Holy Rollers worshipped.

"Their voices can be heard 600 feet from the church," he declared. "On the occasion I visited the church I found women sitting and laying on

the floor, others kneeling at the altar." "They seem to be dominated by the power of their pastor," said Edward R. Johnson, 215 North Chester street, whose home is only a few leet away from the church. "He urges them to action and works up enthusiasm to a high pitch. They forget themselves in their ardor. Their Services begin Sunday morning at 10 o'clock and last as late as 1:30 o'clock the following morning, With scarcely a half hour's rest during the day. Wemen bring their lunches and spend the entire day in a wild form of worship until they are completely exhausted by their efforts.

"Old Black Joe" Favorite Time.

They sing hymns to old, half-popular airs to Which words can easily be arranged. 'Old Black Joe' is one of their tunes."

Prisoners Calm in Court.

During the trial Pitcher and Miss Gladzell sat wild wild the trial table, the very opposite of the by the uncontrollable creatures they were painted by the witnesses.

Close the 100 witnesses crowded the courtlittle. The residents of the neighborhood of the the frame church have been up in arms against the Holy Rollers for the last 18 months. Less Pitch three months ago Judge Heuisler found Pitcher guilty of disturbing the peace, but paroled him upon condition that the nuisance cease.

The "nuisance" is a religious service Which the Roman Catholic foot-kissers do not

Rev. D. S. Phelan said in this paper that man Cotestant worship was prohibited in Roman Catholic Peru, "because it is a nuisance."

The paganism of the Roman Empire was never more splendid and powerful, as an ecclesiastical mechanism, nor more apathetic as a religious worship in more apathetic as a religious worship, than when Constantine overthrew it by law. Its temples, monuments, statuary, ceremo-nial, and outward displays were so gorgeously complete outward displays were is using them at this of that Roman Catholicism is using them han Court From "Lord Macaulay and the Roman Catholic System," by Thos. E. Watson, in Watson's Magazine for November.

The Leo Frank Case Campaign Opens Again!!!

OF course, all of us knew that it would. The only question was, Who will Rosser-Arnold-Burns and Hass use next?

During the Senatorial campaign, an attempt was made to "approach" Ex-Governor Joseph M. Brown, on the subject of the degenerate sodomist and murderer, Leo Frank.

The attempt was made by a prominent Jew

of Savannah.

I will give his name and relate the circumstances if any responsible person dares to

deny my statement.

It is hardly necessary to add that the Savannah patriot got a cold turn-down, and that nearly every Hebrew in Georgia voted for the Hog-eye man, Hoke Smith.

Did he give the promise which Gov. Brown

refused?

Did the Barroom owner of the Piedmont Hotel play the Frank case, as he played the Prohibition issue in 1910?

Did he secretly make a deal with the Big Rich Jews, as he secretly dealt with the Liquor Interests?

J. R. Smith, Campaign Manager of Joseph M. Brown in 1910, came to my-room at the Kimball House, and told me that the Whiskey men had sent a committee to the Joe Brown headquarters, and that this committee had offered to make a deal with him in behalf of Joe Brown.

J. R. Smith told me that when he turned this Whiskey-men's committee down, it immediately went to the Hoke Smith head-

quarters.

Of course he did not know what passed between these committeemen and the Hoke Smith managers, but he told me that in less than 4 hours it was being rumored around Atlanta that the Whiskey men would support Smith.

They did! And when the legislature met—a Prohibition legislature-Hoke Smith's lieutenants, Hooper Alexander et. al., prevented the Tippins bill from passing!

Has a similar deal been made on the sodomy, and murder case of the degenerate Jew, Leo Frank?

We will see.

One W. M. Smith, who perfunctorily acted as lawyer for the negro Conley, now declares that he has at last concluded that Conley alone is guilty.

Burns, again, you see.

No doubt W. M. Smith had discovered some new evidence.

William Jackass Burns couldn't find any, couldn't buy any, couldn't manufacture any, and couldn't suppress any. Has W. M. Smith succeeded where "the

Great Detective" failed?

LET W. M. SMITH BE CAREFUL!

According to his own statement, Smith made a deal with the two rascally "detectives," Burns and Lehon-Burns the fugitive from justice—that he would help them in the Frank case if they would help him in the Nelms case!

Upon what theory did Burns and Lehon suppose Smith, Conley's nominal lawyer, could make evidence, or make way with evidence, in the Frank case, where Arnold, Rosser, Haas, Burns and Lehon had signally failed?

And if Attorney Smith knew anything that would serve the ends of law and justice, why did he want pay for it, in the way of Burns and Lehon services in the Nelms case?

If he already knew something that would establish the innocence of the Sodomite, why

did he demand a price for it? And if there had been no Nelms case to trade on, would he have continued to keep his knowledge of Conley's sole guilt to himself, as he had been doing so long?

Did it require the coming of a Nelms case to make an honest lawyer out of Smith?

Another "angle" that suggests itself is this-

Why was Burns so sure that Smith would find what Burns wanted?

According to Smith himself it appears that Burns and Lehon knew that Smith would "clear Frank," just as Burns had so often and so brilliantly cleared him in the boughtup newspapers.

Burns and Lehon were so certain Smith would "clear Frank" that they made a deal

with him on that basis.

In keeping his part of this extraordinary bargain, Mr. Smith adds nothing to our knowledge of the case. He repeats the frazzled talk about the notes, and the threadbare statement that Conley's story of the crime was "a cunning fabrication."

Marvellous fabrication!

Luther Rosser's 8 hour cross-examination only strengthened it, all attempts to disprove it left it as strong as ever, and all efforts to buy or suppress evidence against it petered out so sompletely that Burns fled the State, after narrowly escaping Judge Lynch at Marietta.

W.M. Smith's attitude is pitiable, and disgraceful. His own statement makes it so.

If the Georgia Bar Association can endure such members as Rosser, Arnold, Haas and Smith, it should elect Abe Hummel honorary member for life.

Still another "angle" is this:

When the Atlanta Journal declared that the execution of the Sodomite would be "judicial murder," why didn't W. M. Smith let out what he knew, then?

If he knew anything at that time, and yet remained silent as Frank got nearer and nearer to the halter, lawyer Smith was a deeply guilty man in becoming a silent partner in the crime of "judicial murder."

If lawyer Smith knew nothing favorable to the murderous Sodomite at that time, how has he since discovered what Rosser, Arnold, Haas, Burns, Lehon, and the private detective of Frank's lawyers failed to find?

Is Smith a better detective than all the others, or is he merely a better lawyer than

all the others?

Again I say, Smith had better be careful. P. S. I note that the Ochs paper, the New York Times, has opened up again, simultaneously with Smith and the Georgia dailies.

"The 4th Degree Oath of the Knights of Columbus."

TO meet the bluff and the falsehoods of those Americans who have foresworn loyal principles, and have become oath-bound subjects of a foreign power, I have carefully prepared the above-named pamphlet.

The men who take that oath are traitors to our government, and spies in our camp.

They are armed and drilled, as military men, and kept in readiness to use their steel swords, and their up-to-date rifles against their fellow citizens.

Get my pamphlet, and study the facts for yourselves. Priced ten cents.

This question of Poperv is the most important question now facing the people of America.

Do You Want to Understand Why Our Country Is In Its Present Condition?

THE business depression and financial crisis now afflicting the people are the results of evil laws.

This is fully explained in two books that we sel

Read our "Handbook of Politics and Political Economy," and Watson's Speeches which treat of these very matters.