# fersomian.

Vol. 14, No. 2

Thomson, Ga., Thursday, January 11, 1917

Price, Five Cents

# The Christian Index Again Commemorates Pastor Russell. A Peculiar Effort to Justify a Libel on a Dead Man.

ON the front page of The Christian Index for December 28, 1916, appears the usual Index was engaged in the un-charitable effort mottoes:

"The Entrance of thy word giveth Light." "THE ORGAN OF THE BAPTISTS OF GEORGIA."

When a family newspaper, claims to be the mouthpiece of a religious denomination, sailing under a pious headlight, whose wording is given above, it owes a moral duty to the whole church for which it assumes to speak.

There was no urgent necessity for The Index to make extended mention of the death of Pastor Russell. To our Baptist church, he was a prominent minister whose faith differed from ours, but he had never given us any

especial provocation. A news-item of his departure would have answered every purpose; and such an item could have been worded in a way that would have defined his position in the religious world, without offending either his disciples

or his adversaries. But the present Editor of "The Organ of he Baptists of Georgia" indulged in the bad caste of cruelly assailing the Rev. Charles Taze Russell before the tears of those who

mourned him were dry. Nature is cruel enough, God knows, but Nature had not wilted the floral offerings at

to wilt, wither, and destroy his good name.

The leading editorial in The Index for Dec. 28, 1916, informs us that it has been threatened with a suit for libel, on account of its uncalled-for and unprovoked attack upon the dead.

Commenting on this threat, and by way of excuse for its own conduct, The Index adopts a most extraordinary expedient:

It reproduces another libellous assault on Pastor Russell, made by another publication, and declares that, if The Index had repudiated this other libel, the author of that, could have sued The Index for damages!

The article which The Index republishes in defense of itself was "taken from a tract by the Sunday School Board, Nashville, Tenn."

The Index asserts that the author of this tract "is a very learned gentleman whose piety and fairness, even to his foes, are unquestioned."

"The Sunday School Board is composed of picked men, whose lives and character will bear the closest scrutiny."

"Surely this Board would not publish and send out an unauthenticated tract, etc."

Friends, isn't that a pitiable retreat from an indefensible position?

Wouldn't a prompt and manly apology have done more credit to The Index?

Accused of libelling the dead, our "Organ" steps behind our "Sunday School Board"they are "picked men"—and behind an unnamed author, who "is a very learned gentle-

man!" What's the name of this very learned gentleman?

The Index handled Russell's name freely enough: why not name the men who assailed him?

Is The Index giving them time to make their property over to their wives, as little General Funston warned our Baptist preachers to do?

First of all, let me say to the Editor of The Index that the law does not permit him to defend one libellous publication by quoting canother.

He can plead the truth, in his defense, and he might mitigate the offense by proving an honest mistake; but that which is false itself, cannot excuse a second libel.

Therefore the tract published by the Board does not furnish protection to The Index.

(CONTINUED ON PAGE TWO.)

## "WHY WAS FRANK LYNCHED?"

Frank's Own Lawyers Caused the Irregularity of the Execution, One of Them Undertaking to Act Both as PEOPLE'S EXECUTIVE and as FRANK'S ATTORNEY.

IN The Forum magazine for December, 1916, appears a malicious libel upon me which was probably being put into type by the New York printers at the same time that the Macon preacher, W. N. Ainsworth, was writing the malignant and dastardly attack he made on me, the day before I was to meet the Roman church in a court-house fight, where honor and life were at stake.

Whether Slaton concerted both these attacks, and launched them at that particular time for the purpose of prejudicing my case, no one can say, but the coincidence in time and tone is peculiarly striking.

Why did Ainsworth wait until the Sabbath before the trial?

Why did The Forum choose that particular time?

The author of The Forum article signs himself "A PUBLIC MAN OF GEORGIA."

Who is this public man that veils his face from the public?

In what walk of life is he "public?"

If a professional man, has he some reason for wearing a mask?

If an official, does he fear the censures of those whose votes he got?

He cannot be a clergyman, for Ainsworth

spoke for the pro-Frank clergy. The public man of Georgia who goes into a Northern magazine to attack the courts and the people of Georgia, acts prudently in hid-

ing his identity. The mere fact that he does hide it, proves that he is afraid not to hide it.

And the same proof which shows that he meant to hide from his fellow Georgians, while attacking them, proves that he is a pusillanimous cur, with the morals of a henroost thief, and the courage of a midnight assassin.

There has never been a doubt in my mind that the Frank case would figure in politics for years to come.

Because of this belief, I carefully prepared the official record of the evidence for publication in WATSON'S MAGAZINE, and the accuracy of that record as there preserved, has never been questioned.

At any time since then, anybody could have secured a copy of the record, at the trifling

cost of 10 cents, and satisfied his own mind, by reading for himself, of the recklessness and vindictiveness with which the pro-Frankites and the pro-Slatonites have lied about this case.

It can still be done, at the same trifling expense.

Therefore, I can reasonably say to every one who is disturbed by The Forum article, or by its reproduction in Adolph Ochs' Chattanooga Times, or by its periodical bobbing up in other pro-Frank publications:

"Don't require me to thresh that old straw, again! I've done it, until there's not a grain

Send 10 cents to The Jeffersonian Publishing Company and get a copy of the sworn evidence which cost Leo Frank his life.

Read the court-house proof, for yourself! Then, and not 'till then, will you be able to measure the lies that have been told."

The masked bushwhacker of The Forum says:

Watson produced all the vague "rumors" as evidence and warped the testimony of the trial to suit his purpose. Weekly he described the (CONTINUED ON PAGE FOUR.)

vice versa: consequently, a maddened Greek Catholic shot the Roman Catholic heir to the Austrian throne—since which time, thirty million soldiers have been busy shooting one another, and each army is parading the Cross, worshipping with the chaplains, and loudly shouting, "God is-with us!"

If an old Catholic church gets riddled with cannon balls, in France or Belgium, more fuss is made over it than when all the folks in the

town are butchered.

If a Catholic idol, made of marble and representing "The Mother of God," escapes destruction, pictures of it fly all over the world, and the priests acclaim "the miracle!"

Are we not in a state of transition? Are not conditions rapidly changing? Can you not see that the minds of men are not what they were before the Great War of 1914 broke loose upon the world?

Europe will never be the same. Nor will

Asia and Africa ever be the same.

On the very ground where Christ taught Love, modern Christianity has practised Hate. On the Mount of Olives the cannon has been

planted, and the olive is gone. In the Garden of Gethsemane, the sweat of blood has fallen again; and on Cavalry,

Christ has again been crucified.

On the very plains where Cain slew his brother, Cain's sons have been slaying the

sons of his murdered brother.

In sight of Mt. Ararat, Christian armies have moved and fought; and there has been another Deluge-wide, engulfing, remorseless -but of blood. In vain, the Dove flies East and West, North and South: there is no spot beneath the skies where her tired wings may be folded, and her feet find rest.

Isn't humanity unhappy, perplexed, be-

wildered, tortured, despairing?

Are we not tossed hither and thither, uncertain of our course, unable to see ahead, marvelling what it all means?

Are we not dimly conscious of a tidal movement, sweeping us from ancient moorings, and bearing us out upon an unknown sea-where?

### "Why Was Frank Lynched?"

Frank's Own Lawyers Caused the Irregularity of the Execution, One of Them Undertaking to Act both as PEOPLE'S EXECUTIVE and as FRANK'S ATTORNEY.

(CONTINUED FROM PAGE ONE.)

poor dead girl's body and even paraded descriptions of her soiled underclothing before the public and played on the medical phases of the case, employing every available emphasis to make report and suspicion appear as facts,-which was like waving a red rag before a bull. His paper was hawked on the streets of the cities more noisily than were the daily newspapers. Many who had no confidence in him began reading it and, hypnotized by his artful sophistries, began to accept as inspired gospel every word he wrote. He pursued the subject for a year with violence barely less than incendiary, and whipped the public into a condition of hysteria that made many think the mild-mannered, intelligent Frank a monster beyond the pale of humankind and brought Frank's friends under suspicion.

During the period covered by those articles in The Jeffersonian, I repeatedly challenged Luther Rosser and Reuben Arnold—the leading lawyers of Frank-to debate the case with me in the paper, and I offered to give them as much space as I took for myself.

If I was warping testimony and dealing in vague rumors, why didn't those crack lawyers

expose me, then?

That was before the execution of their

client by the Vigilantes.

The physical facts as to the little victim's -body, and her undergarments, were material testimony pointing to the nature of the crime, and I went no farther than the official record went.

If my paper was hawked on the streets, during the terrific tempest of slander that beat upon the State, it was because The Jeffersonian was voicing the hot and righteous indignation of the State, when the daily papers would not do it!

The State wanted a hearing in its own defense, and The Jeffersonian responded to that demand!

The masked assassin of The Forum calls the murderer of Mary Phagan "the mildmannered, intelligent Frank!" What's the

use of such twaddle?

Whom does it deceive? It will not dupe anybody who will read the sworn, unimpeached, and overwhelming evidence against the secret, odious, and unnatural vices and crimes of that "mild-mannered" pervert.

Doesn't everybody remember how I guyed his lawyers and his lay-advocates for being afraid to publish the official evidence?

Didn't I emphasize the fact that they spent oceans of money on pamphlets, magazine articles, detective yarns and similar stuff, but refused to invest a few dollars in publishing a verified COPY OF THE RECORD? .

Again and again, I called upon Frank's lawyers, and the Haas Finance Committee, and then later upon Slaton himself, appealing to the court-house evidence.

Would they lay that record before the pub-

lic? No!

Why not? They feared it.

Then I did it; and now, I again appeal to it, and beg you to examine it, for yourself.

If that is not a fair way to deal with such a matter, tell me, in the name of God! how I could be fair.

#### WHO INVOKED THE RACE-FEELING?

It was the leading attorney of Frank who flung the firebrand of race-hatred into the case, by stressing the fact that he was a Jew. The State had not done it: the defense did

But if the Jews are so rash as to identify the whole race WITH ITS WORST MEMBER, what can they expect?

Other races do not make that mistake. No Italian wants his race identified with its Black Hand: no German wants all Germans classed with the priest, Hans Schmidt.

Why should the Jews, and the Gentile champions of Leo Frank, virtually claim that the whole Hebrew race was struck at, when one convicted pervert and murderer was punished, as Mosiac law would have him punished?

If all Jews are incapable of crime, why the Decalogue and Leviticus?

The vast sums of money poured into the propaganda "to save Frank," after the highest court had affirmed the death-sentence, had its influence upon newspapers, upon public men, upon ministers of the Gospel, and upon widely separated lawyers who were connected in corporation practice with lawyers higher up.

Those pro-Frank advocates were intensely chagrined when the Vigilantes executed upon the guilty man the sentence which, by the most extraordinary proceedings, had been appealed to the highest Court in the world, AND

THERE AGAIN AFFIRMED.

Moreover, these incensed pro-Frankites were resentful beyond measure, when the people of Georgia elevated to the highest office in their gift, the prosecuting attorney who had baffled the defense in every intrigue, and beat them in every battle.

Not being able to reach Dorsey, these pro-Frank advocates concentrate their fury against The Jeffersonian, beneving that by following the Jesuit policy of continually flinging mud, they will be gratified by seeing some of it stick.

SLATON BEHIND IT ALL!

Of course, the motive underneath it all is the ambition of John Slaton to "come back." Naturally he is wretched; full of gall and wormwood; his heart festered with disappointments, hatreds, and hunger for revenge.

Life had been easy for John Slaton, who never went up against a real fight in his life until he overtaxed his strength in the Frank case.

Born on a farm, as so many others have been, he followed the plow when a boy, as so many better men have done-and are doing.

He went to Atlanta to practice law, and his handsome face, stalwart figure, insinuating manners, and perennial smile, made him . a social favorite.

He soon married a rich wife, and picked up one soft snap after another in politics. To the legislature, money often accelerates the passage; and in the legislature, gracious deportment and corporation backing sometimes elevate a member to Speakership and Presidency.

Then by logical process of political ascent, the Speaker may become something else-

say, Governor.

Slaton paced over the beaten course, as so many other political pacers have done; and, having become Governor, the next thing was to pace into the Senate.

That also can be done, by patience, perseverance, and sweet oil; and Slaton had all three of these elements of success: consequently the U.S. Senatorship was almost within his grasp.

One thing, however, he lacked, and that

was Honesty.

I don't mean to say that no dishonest person can go to the U.S. Senate; but the misfotrune of Slaton was that he was publicly put to the test, at a time of intense excitement, and about a matter of Life-and-Death!

The test was in the open, with the whole State for witnesses; and there was no opportunity for covering it up, lying out of it, or laying it on somebody else.

The main, damnable facts were as plain as day, and were known of all men, and had been

placed on record.

Hence, John Slaton had no chance to outrage Justice, prostitute his gubernatorial office, defy the People, and get away with it.

The Veiled Prophet of The Forum continues:

#### SLATON WAS WARNED BY MOB.

Naturally riots followed the action of Governor Slaton commuting Frank's sentence, no sensible person expecting otherwise. Slaton himself knew there would be demonstrations from the mobhe had been so advised by representatives of the mob, one of whom was Watson himself.

Watson sent a messenger to Slaton and offered his continuous support in return for the hanging of Frank. Though the bribe would have meant the realization of Slaton's dearest ambition-a place in the United States Senate—it was spurned.

The statement that I was one of "the mob," or one of its "representatives," is a childish fiction.

The statement that I "sent a messenger to Slaton" is one of Slaton's own lies, first told when he was a fugitive from the State.

The alleged messenger was Dr. J. C. Jarnagin, of Warrenton, Georgia, and he was sent as a messenger from Slaton to me!

Slaton had been down to the Doctor's town to make a speech, and while there told the Doctor-alluding to me and other political friends—"we must stick together."

The Doctor visited me afterwards to deliver Slaton's message, and my immediate answer was to the effect that, if Slaton stood firm for the Law, we would stick together.

Slaton did not spurn my message—no sir! He sent another messenger, with a very definte offer to me!

It was I who spurned his offer.

Thursday, January 11, 1917.

I have already stated in The Jeffersonian, months and months ago, that if Slaton demands of me the facts in regard to this offer, those facts will be forthcoming.

The messenger is a man whose word will be taken as true, from Montana to Florida, and from North Carolina to California.

Does the Veiled Prophet want to know who that man is, and what message from John Slaton he bore to me?

If so, let the bushwhacker drop his mask, come out into public view, place himself on a level with me, and make his demand.

I WILL INSTANTLY RESPOND TO IT!

The masked assailant says further:

Watson openly advocated the lynching of Frank and commended and defended the mob which attacked Governor Slaton's home, in such words as, "When mobs are no longer possible liberty will be dead." He defended the mob which later lynched Frank, calling them "vigilantes."

The statement that I advocated, secretly or openly, the lynching of Frank, is false.

If it were true, I would not deny it, because, within certain limitations, I believe that the people, who authorize court-executions, retain the power, themselves, to put down crime.

Within those limitations, all peoples enforce Lynch law.

Why does the Code give you the right to expel the trespasser, with just such force as you find necessary? And to kill a man, to prevent him from stealing your horse, or burglarizing your house? Or to anticipate and slay the assailant who manifestly means to attempt your life?

It is because the law is too slow to save you,

and you must save yourself.

In like manner, the law may be too slow and uncertain to save a menaced community, and that community must exercise its inherent right to defend itself.

General W. T. Sherman helped to hang white men in San Francisco, under Lynch law, who were not as bad as Leo Frank, and whose guilt had not been judicially ascertained.

In the South, such men as Generals Forrest, Toombs, DuBose, Hampton, Butler, Gary and Gordon put into practice the principle to which I have referred.

The Law could not have accomplished what was done by the Vigilantes of the West and

the Ku Klux of the South.

#### PLEASE READ THIS!

So far was I from advocating the lynching of Frank, that I was in correspondence with Solicitor Dorsey and others, with a view to bringing a Writ of Habeas Corpus against the Manager Smith at the State Farm, to test the legality of the Slaton commutation.

I considered it null and void, and believed that Frank could be legally remanded to Fulton County, for execution by the Sheriff, un-

der the sentence of the Courts. As to Slaton, and "the mob" which scared him within an inch of his life, he and his apologists misrepresented it to such an extent, and were so scurriously abusive, that I defended it, as a very natural outburst of civic

wrath against a traitor to the public service. Yes, I did say, that when mobs of that kind are no longer possible, liberty will be dead!

.What of it?

#### AS TO CHIEF JUSTICE SALLY.

The masked Public Man pretends to believe that I dragged the wife of John Slaton into the controversy. Slaton did that, himself.

In his guilty confusion, he gave out statement after statement, supplementary to the prodigiously long defense which he published explaining the commuted sentence of his condemned client.

In his flight, North and West, his tongue wagged at every stop, and the admiring dailies were full of the sayings of the sublime lawyer who hard used his power as Governor to do what his firm had not been able to do, for its client, in the courts.

It is fair to assume that those admiring dailies did not know of Slaton's active participation in Frank's defense, AS ONE OF FRANK'S LAWYERS!

Telling in detail how he had agonized over the case, after it had been lost everywhere, Slaton spoke of sitting up at night, laboring and doubting, and how he finally turned to his wife and asked

"Sally, what must we do?"

And Slaton himself said that "Sally answered.

Jack, let's commute."

The sub-head in the paper struck me as funny, reading as it did,

"SALLY COMMUTES!"

So when I was relating how Slaton had reversed our State Supreme Court, and the U. S. Supreme Court, and the Prison Commission, I jocosely referred to the final decision as having been made by Mrs. Slaton, and not by her husband.

As he had called her Sally, and had represented her as having been in fact the chiefest of all the Chief Justices, I saw no

harm in giving her the title.

She herself did a lot of talking for the papers, up North, and it was not easy to keep from laughing at some of it, particularly her description of how sweetly John Slaton snored, while the melish were keeping off "the mob."

As I remember it, she said that John had on pink silk pajamas, and was lying abed, "snoring sweetly," at the time his barbed wire had been electrified, his grounds picketed, and his mansion encircled with soldiers.

If the husbands of ladies drag their names into controversies, or if the ladies themselves see fit to take a hand, they shouldn't complain of mere badinage, entirely within the proprieties.

It doesn't increase my respect for an adversary, to see him hide behind either his

wife, OR A MASK.

#### THE UNDERWOOD CAMPAIGN OF 1912.

#### The Veiled Prophet of The Forum says:

In 1912 Watson "came back" with the most dramatic feat of his career. Taking advantage of the rivalry among the leading Democrats, he espoused the cause of Oscar Underwood for the Presidential nomination and carried the presidential primary for the Alabaman. If I mistake not, he was the "original Underwood man;" anyway Underwood's victory in Georgia was most distinctly a Watson victory. Watson was so keen over this evidence of his dominance in State politics that he announced he must be one of the State's delegation to Baltimore. It had been all right to let this Populist settle their primary fights, but to send this avowed enemy of the Democratic party, this perpetual bolter, as the party's representative to its National Convention was another matter. Those who believed some party dignity should be maintained protested. Watson made a spectacular journey through the country to Atlanta and, surrounded by courtiers and armed guardsmen and backed by a horde of his followers, went on the convention floor and forced his election as a delegate at large. He not only forced them to name him a delegate, but by a mere trick of clever diplomacy was kept from making himself chairman of the delegation!

The above is almost, if not quite, interest-

I espoused the candidacy of Oscar Underwood because he passed the Free Trade bills through Congress-legislation known as the Farmers' Free-list bills.

They were in exact accordance with my life-long antagonism to tariff monopoly, which fattens the hogs of one national industry upon the corn of the others.

It is no exaggeration to say that The Jeffersonian carried Georgia for Underwood. Afterwards, did it seem unnatural for me to be Chairman of the delegation?

I did not go to Atlanta "through the country," except as the Georgia Railroad goes to

Atlanta through the country.

Edgar Thomson laid out the right of way-I didn't: and in going from the town named after the engineer who made the Road, I naturally had to stay on the track. Even I, can't afford to be always running off the track.

In campaigning the State. I have been all over it, in my old Chalmers-Detroit, and may do so again, for the Chalmers is still able to go, and so am I.

But my old run-about hasn't been in Atlanta since 1911, while I was there in July 1912, having used the Railroad which Edgar Thomson, bult, many years ago, in anticipation of its being needed by myself and a few other persons who might be in a hurry to get to where they are going.

I attended the Convention, just as other delegates did; took part in the pow-wow, as others did; was hilariously howled down by the spectators, as others were; was put on the delegation to Baltimore, as others were; and voluntarily threw the Chairmanship to a political foe-the late Charles Pendletonwhen I saw that there would be a contest over it.

They had placed Bosh Felder on the delegation; and while it may be a rare privilege to be Chairman of a delegation that has Bosh on its list, I didn't at the time consider it worth fighting for.

So, the delegation went to Baltimore, and it there presented a truly rural and exquisitely

regular spectacle to mankind.

It didn't do anything in particular. With a delicious Bourbon stupidity, it kept on voting for Oscar Underwood, day after day, night after night, when a blind mule might have seen that Oscar wasn't in it; and the net result was, that Champ Clark, whose continued and decided majority-vote entitled him to the nomination, was treacherously slaughtered BY HIS INSTRUCTED DELEGATE, William J. Bryan.

I am perfectly willing for the whole world to know that, after it became transparently clear that Underwood could not possibly be nominated, I telegraphed most urgently to the Chairman, and other prominent members of the delegation, to go to Champ Clark.

But they still believed—or said they did that Underwood was really in the running, and would get the nomination Monday morning; and, so, they let the opportunity pass.

Georgia has never been for Woodrow Wilson, and is not, now.

But Georgia goes for the nominee? Oh, yes; Georgia goes for the nominee. In Georgia, Judas Iscariot could beat the Apostle James, if Judas was the nominee.

We Democrats haven't got any too much sense, before the nomination, but afterwards, we haven't got any at all.

The nominee might publicly confess that he got the nomination by fraud, by liquor, by lies, by bribery, or any other political crime, but that wouldn't make a bit of difference.

We Democrats would vote for him anyhow. We were raised that way.

"FRANK SACRIFICED TO CIRCULATION."

The masked Public Man-brave fellow! says that The Jeffersonian saw a chance to build up its circulation on Leo Frank.

The truth is that when the Frank case was

on tral, I never referred to it.

The alleged obscenity which was used to inflame the Attorney General against me, had been printed from day to day in the Atlanta dailies, during the taking of testimony. The alleged obscenity did not begin to rival

# The Jeffersonian

Office of Publication: THOMSON, GA.

Entered as second-class matter, Dec. 8, 1910, at the post office at Thomson, Georgia, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

Subscription Price ....... \$1.50 Per Year.

Advertising Rates Furnished on Application.

Jams 17 If the above date appears on the label on your paper it means that your subscription expires this month. Subscriptions are discontinued promptly on date of expiration. RENEW NOW.

THOMSON, GA., JANUARY 11, 1917.

#### Are Billy-Goat Priests Any Better in North America than in South America?

IN the Missionary Voice, Nashville, Tenn., appears the following revelations, made by a priest in Brazil:

"THE PRIESTS: SHOULD THEY MARRY OR NOT?"

The Sensible Opinion of a Priest.

[The above is the title of one of a series of articles that appeared in an evening paper in Rie de Janeiro last year. Coming from a priest in the ranks, it has more than ordinary value in revealing the conditions that obtain in Brazil.— Charles A. Long.]

It is a social and moralizing discussion that the Rua has opened in regard to the momentous subject of clerical celibacy, which, I may say at once, has actually and only served to demoralize the clergy and discredit religion. I am not looking at the question from the medical or hygienic point of view, but from the social, moral, and religious side.

I admit that clerical delibacy has had its day, but that day has passed and will not return. Then the religious, social, and moral condition of the world was different from that of today. One does not require profound reasoning to discover this. A mere glance at the past, confronting it with the present, is sufficient. I am certain that when the celibacy of priests was declared there were advantages in it, and its observance was possible to a greater or less extent. This is not true today.

Some one said in these columns (I think it was Senor Evaristo Moraes) that about twenty per cent of the secular clergy observe the vow of celibacy. But I, with better data than he, am able to go much farther, saying that not five per cent of the clergy observe it in all its fullness. I do not make any distinction between the secular and the religious clergy. If the latter seem to be more virtuous than the former, it is because they hide in the depths of the converts their faults and weaknesses.

A priest cannot be married officially; but if ninety-five per cent really live as married men, either openly or in secret, is not the law of celibacy thus already abrogated or annulled?

The evils caused to society and to the church itself by celibacy of the priests are incalculable. The secular clergy itself cannot estimate them. Only the priests themselves—i. ..., the religious—know them in scrutinizing the consciences of those who frequently open their hearts to them, but are able to give such souls no comfort whatever because their own consciences are disturbed, afflicted, and black.

It is because of this that modern society, and principally the heads of families, always look upon and receive the priests with suspicion when they do not do it with scorn and disdain. And who can blame them for it? Do there not appear constantly an astonishingly large number of scandals in which priests, victims of the anachronous celibacy, are involved?

There is not a single priest who would defend celibacy from conviction. When they do it, it is to please the bishop or the Daughters of Mary. Seek out the most ardent defender of celibacy. Dissect his life carefully, and you will find that he too is a "worshiper" of the counsel of St. Paul, esse cautus. And it is exactly those who often have their souls in a worse condition than the hypocrisy with which they hide themselves from profane eyes. If the walls of the sacristies of the Matrizes (the mother church of each city or vil-

There have been many desertions from the ranks of the clergy on the part of intelligent, dis-

lage) and of the consistories of the churches

tinguished, and honored priests to whom the eternal hypocrisy in which they are obliged to live, lying to their own consciences and mystifying the public and the faithful, is repugnant. They prefer the light to darkness and weakness to hypocrisy.

It is exceedingly unworthy to have a wife without the responsibilities of being a husband and children without the responsibility of fatherhood. This, unfortunately, is just what clerical celibacy succeeds in doing for the poor priests. To many of them it is disagreeable, and the advice of St. Paul (that they live in celibacy) is not advisable, because it causes them either to go contrary to nature or have spurious offspring.

Because of all this, the writer of these lines, who also is a priest, thinks that ecclesiastical celibacy is the cause of deep-seated evils to society and to the church itself.—C. P. B., in A Rua,

January 25, 1915.

As a commentary on the above, one of our

Brazil missionaries writes:

The family living nearest us is that of a priest who visits there occasionally, always coming and going by the night trains. He apparently bestows upon them the affection of a husband and father. Of course they are not married. Our servant recently had the chance to converse with him and improved the opportunity to remonstrate with him for that way of living. He replied that the bishop could do nothing with him, as he was the father of three children himself. Is it any wonder that the marriage vow in this country is so often considered a worthless superfluity?

#### Masonic Magazine "Light" on the Watson Case.

ON. TOM WATSON, Editor of The Jeffersonian, Georgia, has been acquitted after five years of persecution on a charge of sending obscene matter through the mails, arising out of his publication of the Latin text of St. Alphonsus de Liguoris' work on morals, used as a text book for Roman Catholic priests in the confessional.

Aside from Watson's picturesque personality and his charge that his prosecution was in reality a religious persecution, the singularity of this case brought to the fore the question of press censorship in a way that threatened to establish the Postmaster General of the United States as Federal Censor of books and papers of all kinds, had Watson been convicted.

The work from which Watson quoted is undoubtedly full of suggestive and obscene allusions. But it is a religious text book for the guidance of priests in asking questions of penitents in the confessional, and, moreover, Watson published only the Latin text, and experts had to be imported to translate the difficult mediaeval dialect used by Liguori, before judge, jury or lawyers could understand it.

Watson's remarks concerning its nature were a criticism of certain religious practices connected with the confessional and the attempt to convict him he declared to be for the purpose of shutting his mouth.

Involved in the case as reference, precedent and witness was Rev. P. A. Seguin, for 13 years a Roman priest, but not now affiliated with the church. Mr. Seguin publishes and sends through the mail a book called "Eye Opener," containing the Latin version of extracts from Liguori, together with a traslation. This he copy-righted years ago.

For selling, giving away or circulating this book an anti-Catholic lecturer, F. B. Jordan, was prosecuted in Wisconsin and in one case convicted on the same charge as Watson, the court holding that the matter was obscsene and that the only question for the jury to decide was its obscenity. Its course or the motives prompting the defendant could not be considered. The Wisconsin precedent was not followed in Watson's case, however, save that the jury was limited to consideration as to whether the defendant was guilty of publishing obscene matter.

Thus ends one of the most spectacular attempts to suppress criticism of religion and establish press censorship through courts and the Post Office Department.

New Edition of "Napoleon," by Thos. E. Watson. Just off the press. One volume, \$1.50. Handsomely bound, profusely illustrated. This book is regarded as standard by the French readers and scholars. The Jeffersonian Publishing Co., Thomson, Ga.

New Edition of "The Story of France," by Thos. E. Watson. Just off the press. Two volumes, \$3.50 the set. Handsomely bound, gilt tops, gilt lettered. This book is regarded as standard by the French readers and scholars. The Jeffersonian Publishing Company, Thomson, Ga.

#### "Why Was Frank Lynched?"

(CONTINUED FROM PAGE FIVE.)

the details printed in the dailies about the maltreatment of Eveline Thaw by Stanford White, or the disease from which Beattie suffered.

In both of these cases, prosecutions ensued in the Federal Courts, but the sensible Judges threw out the indictments, just as Judge Foster quashed the indictment in my case.

As to the hasty threat to remove me from my State, the Federal and State courts, both, have passed upon the question since, and decided that the position I took was sound lawas it has been held in all the decisions since Magna Carta, and as the U.S. Constitution guarantees that it shall ever be!

Have we reached a pass where it is unlawful for the humblest citizen to lay his hand upon the horns of the altar our Father's built, and claim its protection?

The Jeffersonian made no money out of

the Frank case: I made none!

The Jeffersonian never opened its mouth in the Frank case, until after the Supreme to Court had affirmed the conviction, and the Atlanta Journal had then declared editorially that the execution of the sentence of the courts would be "Judicial Murder."

That's what started The Jeffersonian.

And the provocations which kept our paper in the fight were, the villanous libels circulated throughout the Union, blackening the name of the State, picturing us as a lot of hyenas, and cartooning us as lynchers of a poor innocent "boy," because he was a Jew.

They never once referred to the well-known case in South-west Georgia, where Dr. Ryder killed a young lady, and where the lawyers and the judges delayed the case, and carried it over, from term to term, until at last, when Judge John C. Hart granted another continuance, the infuriated people rose in uncontrollable wrath, took the prisoner from the Sheriff, and hanged him, near Waverly, Hall.

Dr. Ryder was a native Georgian, a gentleman by birth, a man of intelligence and education, and of stainless reputation, so far as ever I heard. But he lost his head in a fit of jealous rage, and slew the woman he loved.

The delays of the courts exasperated the people to a pitch of madness, and they took the law into their own hands. Is Georgia the only State where that sometimes happens?

I was offered \$5,000 to defend Frank, and declined: overtures to prosecute him, were also made to me, and declined.

THE POTSDAM PALACE-WINDSOR CASTLE, AT HICKORY HILL.

According to the Masked Man of The Forum, the German Kaiser is disgustingly familiar in his manners, compared to me.

King George, castellated on the Thames, is far more approachable!

I am surrounded, body-guarded, fenced off
—intrenched amid man-traps, spring-guns,
and wire-entanglements—and its worth a
man's life to attempt to come near.

The other day, at a little after the noon hour, I was sitting in our "Living Room," reading, when I happened to look up, and there stood a young man, within three or four feet of me, whom I had never seen before.

Naturally, I was somewhat surprised, and I inquired, "Why, hello! How did you do it? Who let you in?"

He smiled and answered, "That little nest gro girl opened the front door and invited me in."

Which, I am inclined to think, was a plausis ble explanation.

The young man was—and still is—Prof. U. A. Lawson, of Hall County, now teaching school at Appling, in Columbia, the good old county of my birth.

I mention this, to show how open are the

TITLEON & BRUWIN

grounds and the dwelling where I live.

As to seeing me, that's different, and has to be, because the amount of work I do with my own fingers could not be done, if I didn't so divide my time as to give me one-half of each day.

In the forenoon, I see no one, except by appointment, or unless something unusual calls for it. No old friend of mine is ever denied, if I know it; and almost any one is welcome in the afternoon; but, of course, there is some care taken as to strangers.

The man who fights murderous Romanism, as I do, would be an ass, if he took no precautions. The cold-blooded, dastardly, but thoroughly Romish assassination of William Black, shows what the Knights of Columbus will do.

THE OPEN GATE AND THE FREE PASS-WAY.

The gates stand wide open, all day long, every day, at Hickory Hill. People come in, walk around, look at the sights, and are never questioned. Parties in automobiles ride through, without hindrance. Sometimes they stop, and sometimes they don't.

Visitors to the family come and go; children play in the grounds, whenever it suits
them; and there isn't a home anywhere more
free and easy. But, as I say, the forenoon is
my time for serious work; and I don't see
how any person, doing as much literary work
as I have to turn out, can accomplish his daily
task, if he does not appropriate to that work
some portion of every day.

Pardon me for so much that is personal. The absurd stories that have gone abroad, seem to justify the explanation.

At night, the gates are closed, and a night-watchman goes on duty, partly to protect our printing plant. At least one attempt has been made to ruin it, and when so much property is open to incendiarism, it seems prudent to safe-guard it as well as I can.

To my friends, such a precaution will appear reasonable. We could not replace the plant, nor could my place be easily filled.

We know the nature of the criminal secret societies of Rome, and we avoid unnecessary risks.

The record of the pope's Clan-na-Gael, Ancient Order of Hibernians, Molly Maguires, and Jesuits, REEKS WITH BLOOD; and the satanic "moral theology" of Rome teaches murder,

just as it did when Cranmer, Latimer, Ridley, and William Tyndale were burnt.

The Masked Man of The Forum is deeply in sympathy with the foreign church, whose LAW and whose record SPELLS ASSASSINATION OF HERETICS.

Otherwise, this veiled assailant would have told the truth, and expressed some amazement at a Protestant Government which will aid a monarchical pagan church in its efforts to penalize Americans, who dare to let the people know how very diabolical are THAT CHURCH'S OFFICIAL TEACHINGS.

If the priests of any other faith were officially instructed to befoul women, in secret, by the continual use of unprintable language; and if those priests were required to take a dreadful oath of persecution against all other Christians, a civic convulsion would shake the continent.

But the Catholic priests do it, and no convulsion follows!

On the contrary, the law hounds the American who exposes Romanist vices and crimes, instead of the Romanists, whose church incul-cates those vices and crimes.

Will it always be so?

No, IT WON'T!

The true inwardness of the implacable and aggressive hatred to me, at this time, when I hold no office and seek none, is this:

The stalwart, militant Americanism which I represent, advocate, and lead, THREATENS THE OLD POLITICIANS WITH A NEW ISSUE, which they mortally fear!

SLATON'S "SUBLIME COURAGE."

One word more, and I am done: The Fo-

rum domino says-

In all my experience and all my reading I have never known of a more sublimely courageous act than that of John M. Slaton in refusing to permit Frank to be hanged. He performed a service for government, for liberty, for humanity—despite the fact that Frank was finally put to death by a mob—of incalculable value.

Assuming that Slaton had authority to act upon the case of his own client, he was the veriest coward for not pardoning Frank, upon the identical ground which supported the commutation.

There could be no half-way station. Either the man was guilty and deserved his sentence; or he was innocent and deserved his freedom.

Every reason which can be urged to sustain the commutation, logically leads to a full pardon.

To virtually re-try and acquit the man, but at the same time condemn him to a life-term in the penitentiary, was hopelessly illogical.

If he was guilty, why commute the sentence?

If innocent, why consign him to the penitentiary for life?

The Masked Man cannot answer, nor can Slaton, who believes that I stand between him and his "come back."

He deceives himself: it is not I who stand between him, and the power from which he fell. He and his masked men and his hired writers might defame me into extinction, without resurrecting John M. Slaton.

Some men fall like Lucifer, never to rise again; and Slaton is one of them.

#### "The 4th Degree Oath of the Knights of Columbus."

TO meet the bluff and the falsehoods of those Americans who have foresworn loyal principles, and have become oath-bound subjects of a foreign power, I have carefully prepared the above-named pamphlet.

The men who take that oath are traitors to our Government, and spies in our camp.

They are armed and drilled, as military men, and kept in readiness to use their steel swords, and their up-to-date rifles against their fellow citizens.

This question of Popery is the most important question now facing the people of America.

Get my pamphlet, and study the facts for yourselves. Priced ten cents.

#### Where You Can Buy the Jeffersonian in Florida.

Jacksonville: Florida News Co., 6 Hogan St., J. C. Grosser.

Quincy: J. E. Davidson Pharmacy.
Tampa: J. W. Jones, Arcade Post Card Store.
Palatka: E. E. Dodge.
Wanchanla: A. C. Clavel.

Wauchaula: A. C. Clavel.

Lakeland: Miss Ruby Daniel.

Miami: J. F. Chaille Co.

Gainesville: W. P. Moseley.

Ocala: A. E. Gerig.

Ocala: N. L. Williams, 301 Orange St.

Madison: Burton McLeary.

# Q. L. Williford's Platform in His Congressional Race In the race for Congress from the Eighth From the Eighth From the Eighth 12th. I favor the extension of the banking

In the race for Congress from the Eighth Congressional District of Georgia, these are some of the things for which I stand:

Ist. Peace, if possible. Just so long as there is an honorable way to keep upon peaceable terms with other nations let us do so. Yet we must remember that there can be no peace with dishonor. Therefore, I am for, mercy of the dovern be retained.

6th. Freedom of melled press are the liberty. When we let me peace with dishonor. Therefore, I am for, mercy of the enemy.

2nd. Preparedness. Let us so prepare that we may be able to resist invasion and command that respect among nations that honor and justice demand.

In order to remove possible cause for inter-

Philippine Islands. I oppose the acquisition and retention of territory so far removed from our nation.

4th. I oppose unrestricted immigration. I would prefer no immigration whatever to the continued influx of that class of foreigners who are so opposed to American principles and who can sscarcely be Americanized.

5th. I am for the Separation of Church and State. Sectarian Institutions should have no political standing with our government and they should not be financially assisted by any department of our government. Chaplains

paid by the Government should not longer be retained.

6th. Freedom of Speech and an untrammelled press are the sentinels of American liberty. When we lose these we are at the mercy of the enemy.

I favor:

7th. Good roads;

8th. The extension and perfection of the Rural Mail Delivery and of the Parcels Post; 9th. The extension of Agricultural and Industrial Information and Education.

10th. I favor and shall work for a return of the many millions of dollars of Taxes, illegally collected by your Government upon cotton, for the purpose of aiding good roads, extension of Rural Delivery, and of Agricultural Information and Education in the cotton growing States.

11th. The products of the farm are now bringing a price that enables the producer to enjoy life better than he has in the past. I shall give to the toiler my very best efforts and endeavor to prevent monopolies and combinations from placing the toiler back into the straitened condition he has so long endured.

12th. I favor the extension of the banking system of our country so that all classes of our people may be able to secure equal banking facilities.

13th. I shall oppose the appointment of Federal Judges for a life time term of office.

14th. I favor the enactment of Legislation that will prevent an office holder from seeking another office during the term to which he has been elected. This is one Contract Law that would save us much jugglery with political offices.

15th. I have been, am now, and will be, for Prohibition. I shall never, by any act of mine, make it easy for a young man to secure liquor. There is now no place, in a civilized nation, for the sale of liquor.

16th. I am a Democrat. And as long as the Democratic party is right in principle just so long I shall remain a Democrat. But greater than all parties are the immutable laws of Rights and Justice. To these I shall adhere with all the strength of mortal man.

To the principles set forth in this platform, I shall adhere and upon this platform I ask you to stand with me.

Q. L. WILLIFORD,
Madison, Ga.

Advt.