A more pathetic or a more dignified figure than LEO M. FRANK presented in the Atlanta court Monday when he was resentenced to death for a crime of which a great majority of his countrymen believe him to be innocent, and for which he was never fairly tried, has rarely come into the public vision. calm demeanor and the simple eloquence of his formal statement before sentence was passed must have made a strong impression even among the unaccountably hostile spectators. No better exposition of his case could have been made. Justice has failed, if not in putting this man under the ban of the law, as so many entirely unprejudiced persons believe, assuredly in denying him the privilege of an impartial trial, when the violent antipathy aroused against him in the community had subsided.

processes in his behalf \mathbf{All} legal have now been exhausted, and his onlyhope for life lies in a possible commutation of his sentence. It does seem credible that the force of public opinion in Atlanta will prevent this. As FRANK says, the doubt of the trial remains. to his guilt still Judge as Not an unprejudiced person who has talked with him or has studied the flimsy evidence produced against him doubts his innocence.

Ehe New York Eimes

Published: May 12, 1915 Copyright © The New York Times